## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

IVY FLETCHER-TOWNSEND,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
V.	)	Civil No. <b>05-695-DRH</b>
	)	
PURDUE PHARMA CO., et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## **ORDER**

## PROUD, Magistrate Judge:

Ten apparently similar cases have been filed regarding the drug OxyContin:

Davis v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-689-DRH/DGW
Haas v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-690-DRH/DGW
Hergenroeder v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-691-DRH/PMF
Johnson v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-692-DRH/PMF
Nipps v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-693-DRH/DGW
Session v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-694-DRH/DGW
Fletcher-Townsned v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-695-DRH/CJP
Milo v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-696-DRH/CJP
Umperovitch v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-697-DRH/PMF
Whittington v. Purdue Pharma Co., et al., 05-698-DRH/DGW

After consultation with Judge Herndon, it is agreed that staggered presumptive trial months and schedules are appropriate, although the bulk of discovery is likely to still be conducted simultaneously in all ten cases. The Court will leave it to the parties to draft proposed revised schedules, or a master schedule, for submission to the Court. The parties should determine the best order in which to try the cases. The trials shall be staggered by 90 day intervals, and the dispositive motion deadline in each case shall be set for 100 days prior to the first day of the presumptive trial month. The parties shall submit their proposed schedule(s) on

or before January 13, 2006.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 16, 2005

s/ Clifford J. Proud CLIFFORD J. PROUD U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE